



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,340	03/25/2004	Alise Shatoff	K9GEN.001US	1600
35938	7590	01/14/2008	EXAMINER	
Biotechnology Law Group c/o Portfolioip P.O. Box 52050 Minneapolis, MN 55402			VALENTI, ANDREA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/811,340	Applicant(s) SHATOFF ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrea M. Valenti	Art Unit 3643	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrea M. Valenti. (3)_____

(2) Sam K. Tahmassebi. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 08 January 2008

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 21 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: United Kingdom GB 2231502; U.S. Patent Pub. US 2001/0008125; U.S. Patent 6,899,059.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed an amendment to claim 1 that incorporated more explicitly the structural feature of the flared opening to overcome the GB 2231502 reference. Examiner agreed that GB 2231502 does not appear to meet the flared limitations of the proposed amendment. However, the examiner expressed a concern that U.S. Patent 6,899,059 that has a threaded opening might be substituted with a flared connection as a possible 103(a). Examiner encouraged applicant to structurally claim the structural features of Fig. 1A. That both toy members have a flared opening and an un-flared opening and that when interlocked both toys are able to discharge edible substances out of an opening and that the flared opening gets inserted into an un-flared opening. This should help to distinguish over the claim language from reading on merely the joining of two half sphere members. The examiner indicated that there is a dog toy called KONG, but the examiner would have to update the search to see if the KONG toy has a flared opening..